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Introduction

TinyOS has a pressing need for a good ad-hoc routing service. Several protocols have been developed, each of which has acknowledged problems. One issue that has arisen is separating policies from mechanisms; it would be a great help to have the ability to easily experiment with a variety of different algorithmic building blocks, each of which can be easily interchanged. We have therefore developed a general ad-hoc protocol component architecture; algorithms should be built in components that follow this architecture, so that they can be easily incorporated into different combinations for testing and evaluation.

Overview

Multi-hop routing has been broken into several components, shown in Figure 1. The black box at the top of the figure is the application, which only makes calls on the MultiHopSend component (probably through a MultiHop configuration). The MultiHopRoute component also uses MultiHopSend to forward packets. MultiHopSend is responsible for decisions such as whether to retransmit or to try another route.

Actual route selection is performed by the RouteSelector component through the RouteSelect interface. MultiHopSend, while aware of the size of the protocol headers, never interacts with them; instead, it merely passes buffers to RouteSelect for the values to be filled in.

RouteSelector depends on some number of Estimator components to make decisions on which route to use. For example, a min-hop algorithm (similar to BLESS or Narpro) might have an estimator that listens for protocol messages and updates routing tables accordingly. A network link quality estimator might use link quality broadcasts to communicate with neighbors. A given RouteSelector uses some set of Estimators, each of which can have its own specific interface, to decide on a route.

![Figure 1: Component Architecture](image-url)
Roles

MultiHopSend

MultiHopSend is responsible for sending packets using the implemented ad-hoc routing protocol. When a packet originates at a node (as opposed to being forwarded), the application must call `getBuffer()` before calling `send()`. This allows MultiHopSend to set protocol fields to unique values so that it can distinguish forwarded from originated packets (this can be important in the presence of originator fields, etc.).

MultiHopSend does not have any route selection logic and does not fill in the header fields necessary to send a packet; this is all performed by RouteSelector. It is, however, responsible for decisions such as when and how many times to retransmit, and when alternate parents should be requested.

MultiHopRoute

MultiHopRoute is responsible for receiving protocol messages and deciding whether it should forward them. If MultiHopRoute decides that it should forward a message, then it passes the packet to MultiHopSend.

RouteSelector

RouteSelector maintains routing state, which it uses to choose routes for packets to send. MultiHopSend passes it a packet buffer, which it fills in with the necessary header fields to be later understood by MultiHopRoute. RouteSelector makes its routing decisions using some number of Estimators, each of which can have different interfaces. For example, there might be a LinkQualityEstimator, a GeographicPositionEstimator, and a PowerEstimator, the combination of which are used to choose power-minimizing high-quality links that make geographic progress to the desired destination.

Interfaces

Send.ti

```
/*
 * Authors: Philip Levis
 * Date last modified: 8/12/02
 * The Send interface should be provided by all protocols above layer
 * 2 (GenericComm/AM). For example, ad-hoc routing protocols should
 * provide this interface for sending packets.
 * The goal of this interface is to allow applications to take part in
 * buffer swapping (avoiding the mbuf problem) on send while being
 * unaware of the structure of the underlying packet. When an
 * application wants to send a packet, it should call getBuffer(),
 * passing the packet buffer it will use. The underlying component,
 * aware of the structure of its headers and footers, returns a
 * pointer to the area of the packet that the application can fill
 * with data; it also provides the length of the usable region within
 * the buffer.
 * The application can then fill this region with data and send it with
 * the send() call, stating how much of the region was used.
 * getBuffer(), when called, should set all protocol fields into a
 * unique and recognizable state. This way, when a buffer is passed to
 * send(), the component can distinguish between packets that are
 * being forwarded and those that are originating at the mote.
 * Therefore, getBuffer() should not be called on a packet that is
 * being forwarded.
 */

#include AM;
interface Send {
    command result_t send(TOS_MsgPtr msg, uint16_t length);
    command uint8_t* getBuffer(TOS_MsgPtr msg, uint16_t* length);
    event result_t sendDone(TOS_MsgPtr msg, result_t success);
}
```
RouteSelect.ti

/*
 * Authors: Philip Levis
 * Date last modified: 8/12/02
 * 
 * The RouteSelect interface is part of the TinyOS ad-hoc routing
 * system architecture. The component that keeps track of routing
 * information and makes route selection decisions provides this
 * interface. When a Send component wants to send a packet, it passes
 * it to RouteSelect for its routing information to be filled in. This
 * way, the Send component is entirely unaware of the routing
 * header/footer structure.
 */

#include AM:

interface RouteSelect {
    command bool isActive();
    command result_t selectRoute(TOS_MsgPtr msg);
}