


# Chapter 10: Mass-Storage Systems

---






# Overview of Mass Storage Structure

Magnetic disks provide bulk of secondary storage of modern computers

- Drives rotate at 60 to 200 times per second
- **Transfer rate** is rate at which data flow between drive and computer
- **Positioning time (random-access time)** is time to move disk arm to desired cylinder (**seek time**) and time for desired sector to rotate under the disk head (**rotational latency**)
- **Head crash** results from disk head making contact with the disk surface





# Overview of Mass Storage Structure

---

- Disks can be removable
- Drive attached to computer via **I/O bus**
  - Busses vary, including **EIDE, ATA, SATA, USB, Fibre Channel, SCSI**
  - **Host controller** in computer uses bus to talk to **disk controller** built into drive or storage array





# Disk Scheduling

- The operating system is responsible for using hardware efficiently — for the disk drives, this means having a fast access time and disk bandwidth.
- Access time has two major components
  - *Seek time* is the time for the disk are to move the heads to the cylinder containing the desired sector.
  - *Rotational latency* is the additional time waiting for the disk to rotate the desired sector to the disk head.
- Minimize seek time
- Seek time  $\approx$  seek distance
- Disk bandwidth is the total number of bytes transferred, divided by the total time between the first request for service and the completion of the last transfer.





# Disk Scheduling (Cont.)

---

- Several algorithms exist to schedule the servicing of disk I/O requests.
- We illustrate them with a request queue (0-199).

98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

Head pointer 53






# FCFS

Illustration shows total head movement of 640 cylinders.

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

head starts at 53





# SSTF

---

- Selects the request with the minimum seek time from the current head position.
- SSTF scheduling is a form of SJF scheduling; may cause starvation of some requests.
- Illustration shows total head movement of 236 cylinders.






# SSTF (Cont.)

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

head starts at 53







# SCAN

---

- The disk arm starts at one end of the disk, and moves toward the other end, servicing requests until it gets to the other end of the disk, where the head movement is reversed and servicing continues.
- Sometimes called the *elevator algorithm*.
- Illustration shows total head movement of 208 cylinders.






# SCAN (Cont.)

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

head starts at 53





# C-SCAN

---

- Provides a more uniform wait time than SCAN.
- The head moves from one end of the disk to the other, servicing requests as it goes. When it reaches the other end, however, it immediately returns to the beginning of the disk, without servicing any requests on the return trip.
- Treats the cylinders as a circular list that wraps around from the last cylinder to the first one.






# C-SCAN (Cont.)

queue = 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

head starts at 53





# C-LOOK

---

- Version of C-SCAN
- Arm only goes as far as the last request in each direction, then reverses direction immediately, without first going all the way to the end of the disk.






# C-LOOK (Cont.)

queue 98, 183, 37, 122, 14, 124, 65, 67

head starts at 53





# Selecting a Disk-Scheduling Algorithm

---

- SSTF is common and has a natural appeal
- SCAN and C-SCAN perform better for systems that place a heavy load on the disk.
- Performance depends on the number and types of requests.
- Requests for disk service can be influenced by the file-allocation method.
- The disk-scheduling algorithm should be written as a separate module of the operating system, allowing it to be replaced with a different algorithm if necessary.
- Either SSTF or LOOK is a reasonable choice for the default algorithm.





# RAID Structure

---

- **RAID** – multiple disk drives provides **reliability** via **redundancy**.
- RAID is arranged into six different levels.







# RAID (cont)


---

- Several improvements in disk-use techniques involve the use of multiple disks working cooperatively.
- Disk striping uses a group of disks as one storage unit.
- RAID schemes improve performance and improve the reliability of the storage system by storing redundant data.
  - *Mirroring* or *shadowing* keeps duplicate of each disk.
  - *Block interleaved parity* uses much less redundancy.





# RAID Levels



(a) RAID 0: non-redundant striping.



(b) RAID 1: mirrored disks.



(c) RAID 2: memory-style error-correcting codes.



(d) RAID 3: bit-interleaved parity.



(e) RAID 4: block-interleaved parity.



(f) RAID 5: block-interleaved distributed parity.



(g) RAID 6: P + Q redundancy.



# End of Chapter 10

---

